# Cytokinetics

#### Sarcomere Directed Therapies

## EMPOWERING MUSCLE EMPOWERING LIVES



John, diagnosed with heart failure

Jillian, diagnosed with HCM

Chuck, diagnosed with ALS

## Forward-Looking Statements

This Presentation contains forward-looking statements for purposes of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act"). Cytokinetics disclaims any intent or obligation to update these forward-looking statements and claims the protection of the Act's Safe Harbor for forward-looking statements. Examples of such statements include, but are not limited to, statements related Cytokinetics' research and development and commercial readiness activities, including the initiation, conduct, design, enrollment, progress, continuation, completion, timing and results of clinical trials, projections regarding growing prevalence, low survival rates and market opportunity in heart failure; projections regarding the size of the addressable patient population for *omecamtiv mecarbil*; Cytokinetics' commercial readiness for *omecantiv mecarbil*; the likelihood of approval and timing for approval of *omecantiv mecarbil* or any of our other drug candidates; the submission of a new drug application (NDA) for *omecamtiv mecarbil* in 2H 2021; the timing and results of clinical trials of CK-274, including the expectation of results of REDWOOD-HCM in mid-2021; the commencement of a phase 3 clinical trial of reldesemtiv by year end; the timing of any potential commercial launch of our product candidates, if approved; commercial opportunities for our product candidates; Cytokinetics' cash runway; interactions with the FDA; the properties, potential benefits and commercial potential of CK-274, omecamtiv mecarbil, CK-136 (AMG 594), reldesemtiv and Cytokinetics' other drug candidates. Such statements are based on management's current expectations; but actual results may differ materially due to various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, potential difficulties or delays in the development, testing, regulatory approvals for trial commencement, progression or product sale or manufacturing, or production of Cytokinetics' drug candidates that could slow or prevent clinical development or product approval, including risks that current and past results of clinical trials or preclinical studies may not be indicative of future clinical trial results, patient enrollment for or conduct of clinical trials may be difficult or delayed, Cytokinetics' drug candidates may have adverse side effects or inadequate therapeutic efficacy, the FDA or foreign regulatory agencies may delay or limit Cytokinetics' ability to conduct clinical trials, and Cytokinetics may be unable to obtain or maintain patent or trade secret protection for its intellectual property; Cytokinetics may incur unanticipated research, development and other costs or be unable to obtain financing necessary to conduct development of its products; standards of care may change, rendering Cytokinetics' drug candidates obsolete; and competitive products or alternative therapies may be developed by others for the treatment of indications Cytokinetics' drug candidates and potential drug candidates may target. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and Cytokinetics undertakes no obligation to subsequently update any such statement, except as required by law. For further information regarding these and other risks related to Cytokinetics' business, investors should consult Cytokinetics' filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

Sarcomere Directed Therapies

## **OUR MISSION**

To bring forward new medicines to improve the healthspan of people with devastating cardiovascular and neuromuscular diseases of impaired muscle function.



Achieve regulatory approvals for at least two drugs arising from our pipeline

Build commercial capabilities to market and sell our medicines reflective of their innovation and value

Generate sustainable and growing revenues from product sales

• Double our development pipeline to include ten therapeutic programs

• Expand our discovery platform to muscle energetics, growth and metabolism

Be the science-driven company people want to join and partner with

Our vision is to be the

leading muscle biology

biopharma company that meaningfully improves the lives of patients with diseases of impaired muscle function through access to our

pioneering medicines

## **2025** Leading with Science, Delivering for Patients

As always, we will support disease advocacy groups elevating the patient voice and live by our values of integrity, fairness and compassion in all that we do.

As always, we will support disease advocacy g

Cvtokinetics

V | S | ()

## Pipeline of Novel Muscle-Directed Drug Candidates



Sarcomere Directed Drug Development

## **CARDIAC MUSCLE**

*Omecamtiv Mecarbil* CK-136 (AMG 594) CK-274, CK-271



## Heart Failure: Growing Prevalence and Low Survival Rates 6 million people have heart failure in the United States

**Prevalence Expected to** Increase by 46% from 2012 - 2030





Mozzafarian, et al. Circulation 2016; 133: e38-360

Cytokinetics

Mamas et al. Eur J Heart Fail. 2017 Sep;19(9):1095-104

**HF Survival Rates Worse than Some** 

**Prevalent Cancers** 

## High Hospital Readmission Rates

Heart failure is one of the most frequent causes of hospitalization in people >  $65^{1,2}$ 

	Initial Hospitalization	<1 month post-discharge <sup>4,6</sup>	<b>60 days</b> post-discharge <sup>7</sup>	<b>&lt;6 months</b> post-discharge⁵	<12 months post-discharge <sup>3,8</sup>
1 of 2 hospitalized HF patients are		<b>24%</b> readmitted	~ <b>25-50%</b> of patients expire or are re-hospitalized	<b>44%</b> readmitted	<b>66%</b> readmitted
within 6 months <sup>5</sup>	•:	<u>.</u>			

1, Adams et al. Am Heart J 2006; 149:209-16

- 2. Chen et al. JAMA 2011;306:1669-78
- 3. Dickstein et al. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:2388-442
- 4. Korda,, et al. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;21;17(1):220.

5. Krumholz et al. Arch Intern Med 1997;15799 – 105



6. Krumholz et al. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2009;2(5):407-13 7. Loehr et al. *Am J Cardiol* 2008;101:1016-22 8. Whellan et al. *Circulation* 2010 Jan;3(1):33-40

## High Economic Burden of Heart Failure

Heart failure costs ~\$123 billion annually, representing 33% of total Medicare budget<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Inpatient Admission Rates for HF Patients 6X Higher than Non-HF Patients<sup>1</sup>

Heart failure is the most frequent diagnosis for hospitalized Medicare patients in the US<sup>1,2</sup>



1. Milliman Analysis of Medicare 5% Sample 2011-2012 (2012 index year, 2011 look back year)

2. Milliman Analysis of Medicare 5% Sample (2014 index year, 2013 look back year) and Office of the Actuary 2016 Board of Trustees Report. The costs only include Part A & B costs

**C**ytokinetics

## Significant Unmet Need in HFrEF Proprietary market research suggests need for novel therapy



### Sarcomere Directed Drug Development Cardiac muscle



## Omecamtiv Mecarbil: Novel Mechanism Approach



## **Omecamtiv Mecarbil:** Positive Phase 3 Trial Results

>30 trials: 23 Phase 1 studies with 600+ participants, 7 Phase 2 trials with 1,400+ patients, 2 Phase 3 trials with 8,000+ patients



## Pivotal Phase 3 Trial Design



#### Landmark clinical trial results published in NEJM

#### Overview

Enrolled 8,256 patients at ~1,000 sites in 35 countries

#### **Primary Endpoint**

Composite of time to cardiovascular (CV) death or first HF event\*, whichever occurs first

#### **Secondary Endpoints**

- Time to CV death
- Change in Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Total Symptoms Score (KCCQ TSS) from baseline to Week 24
- Time to first HF hospitalization
- Time to all-cause death

#### **Key Design Points**

- Dose optimization based on trough concentration of *omecamtiv mecarbil* at 2 weeks and 6 weeks
- High risk patients enrolled from inpatient and outpatient settings
- Designed to provide 90% statistical power to assess risk of CV death

\*An HF event defined as the presentation of the subject for an urgent, unscheduled clinic/office/ED visit, or hospital admission, with a primary diagnosis of HF, where the patient exhibits new or worsening symptoms of HF on presentation, has objective evidence of new or worsening HF, and receives initiation or intensification of treatment specifically for HF (Hicks et al, 2015). Changes to oral diuretic therapy do not qualify as initiation or intensification of treatment.



### **Clinical Trial Overview Overall median study exposure was 21.8 months**

*Omecamtiv mecarbil* + SoC

Placebo+SoC

Follow the same study procedures as OM

group to ensure blinding

W24

W36

W48

Q16W

W8

W12



**Clinical Trial Schema** 

by

Ranc Strat Subs

PK assessment for dose adjustment

**PK** assessment

**Study Visits** 

Screening



**Patient Disposition** 

Chronic HFrEF patients currently hospitalized for a primary reason of HF or with history of hospitalization or ER/ED admission for a primary reason of HF within 1 year

W2

W4

W6

## Cvtokinetics

## **Baseline Characteristics**



Characteristic	OM (N=4120)	Placebo (N=4112)				
Demographics						
Age (years), median (Q1, Q3)	66 (58, 73)	66 (58, 73)				
Sex, female, n (%)	875 (21.2)	874 (21.3)				
White/Asian/Black/other, %	78/9/7/7	78/9/7/7				
Heart Failure History and Medical Conditions						
LVEF (%), mean (SD)	26.6 (6.3)	26.5 (6.3)				
NYHA class, II/III/IV, %	53/44/3	53/44/3				
Ischemic etiology, %	53.2	54.0				
Atrial fib/flutter at screening, %	27.8	26.7				
Type 2 diabetes, %	40.1	40.3				

Characteristic	OM (N=4120)	Placebo (N=4112)
itals and Laboratory Parameters		
IT-proBNP (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3)	1977 (980, 4061)	2025 (1000, 4105)
BP (mmHg), mean (SD)	116 (15)	117 (15)
leart rate, mean (SD)	72 (12)	72 (12)
GFR (mL/min/1.73m²), median (Q1, Q3)	59 (44, 74)	59 (44, 74)
ardiac Tnl (ng/mL), median (Q3)	0.027 (0.052)	0.027 (0.052)
ledications and Cardiac Devices		
CEI/ARB/ARNi , %	87	87
ARNi, %	20	19
В, %	94	94
1RA, %	78	78
GLT2i, %	2.5	2.8
RT, %	14	14
CD, %	32	31

ACEi, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNi, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; BB, beta blocker; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; fib, fibrillation; hsTnl, high-sensitivity troponin l; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association; Q, quartile; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SGLT2i, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor.

### Primary Composite Endpoint Time to first HF event or CV death





## Primary Composite Components and KCCQ TSS





Cytokinetics

## Laboratory and Safety Events



Variable	Omecamtiv Mecarbil (N=4110)	Placebo (N=4101)	Relative Risk or Difference (95% Cl)
Laboratory value change from baseline to Week 24			
Systolic blood pressure – mmHg, mean (SD)	1.4 (15.3)	1.5 (15.6)	-0.1 (-0.9, 0.6)
Heart rate, bpm, mean (SD)	-2.1 (12.6)	-0.5 (12.8)	-1.6 (-2.2, -1.0)
Cardiac Troponin I, ng/L, median (Q1, Q3)	0.004 (-0.002, 0.021)	0.000 (-0.009, 0.008)	0.004 (0.003, 0.005)
NT-proBNP, pg/mL, median (Q1, Q3)	-251 (-1180, 295)	-180 (-915, 441)	0.90 (0.86, 0.94)
Adverse events (AEs)			
Any serious AE, n (%)	2373 (57.7)	2435 (59.4)	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)
Drug discontinuation due to AE, n (%)	371 (9.0)	382 (9.3)	0.97 (0.85, 1.11)
Adverse events of interest			
Ventricular tachyarrhythmias	290 (7.1)	304 (7.4)	0.95 (0.82, 1.11)
Torsade de pointes/QT prolongation	176 (4.3)	195 (4.8)	0.90 (0.74, 1.10)
SAE of ventricular arrhythmia requiring treatment	119 (2.9)	127 (3.1)	0.93 (0.73, 1.20)
Adjudicated major cardiac ischemic events, n (%)	200 (4.9)	188 (4.6)	1.06 (0.87, 1.29)
Myocardial infarction	122 (3.0)	118 (2.9)	
Hospitalized for unstable angina	25 (0.6)	12 (0.3)	
Coronary revascularization	115 (2.8)	117 (2.9)	
Adjudicated Strokes	76 (1.8)	112 (2.7)	0.68 (0.51, 0.91)

## Primary Outcome: Subgroup Results



- 1		
Subgroup	F	lazard Ratio (95% CI)
Overall Randomization Setting		0.92 (0.86, 0.99)
Inpatient		0.89 (0.78, 1.01)
Outpatient		0.94 (0.86, 1.02)
Region		
Asia		0.80 (0.61, 1.05)
E. Europe with Russia		0.90 (0.80, 1.02)
Laun America		0.90(0.75, 1.07)
W Europe South Afria and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.65 (0.75, 0.99)
AUS		1.07 (0.93, 1.23)
Age	0 0 0	
< 65	<b>≣</b> ÷l	0.91 (0.82, 1.12)
≥ 65	<b>⊢−</b> ■ <u>+</u> −	0.94 (0.86, 1.03)
Sex		
Female	<b>≣</b> 1	0.95 (0.81, 1.12)
Male	<b>⊢</b> ∎j	0.92 (0.85, 0.99)
Race	0 0 0	
Asian		0.79 (0.61, 1.02)
Black or African American		0.82 (0.64, 1.04)
White		0.95 (0.88, 1.03)
Baseline NVLA Class		0.91 (0.69, 1.21)
		0.07 (0.92, 1.09)
11		
Diabetes at Baseline	• • •	0.00 (0.00, 0.57)
No		0.91 (0.83, 1.01)
Yes	↓ <b>■</b> ↓	0.93 (0.84, 1.03)
Primary Cause of HF		. , , ,
Ischemic	<b>⊢</b> ∎i	0.90 (0.82, 0.98)
Non-ischemic	<b>⊢−−■</b> <u>−</u> 1	0.96 (0.86, 1.07)
History of MI	•	
No	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1	0.93 (0.85, 1.03)
Yes		0.91 (0.83, 1.01)
Presence of Atrial Fib/Flutter		
No		0.86 (0.79, 0.94)
Yes		1.05 (0.93, 1.18)
0.5	0.7 0.91.01.1 1.3 1.5 1.7	
	Favors OM <> Favors Placebo	)

Subgroup	. H	lazard Ratio (95% Cl)
Baseline LVEF		
≤ Median (28%)	⊢=→ 0.8	84 (0.77, 0.92)
> Median (28%)	<b>⊢ –</b> 1.0	04 (0.94, 1.16)
Inpatient + ≤ Median		0.97 (0.74, 1.28)
Inpatient + > Median		0.75 (0.61, 0.92)
Outpatient + > Median	· - · · ·	0.85 (0.75, 0.97)
Baseline HR		
≤ Median (71 bpm)		0.91 (0.82, 1.01)
Baseline SBP		0.93 (0.85, 1.03)
≤ Median (116 mmHg)	<b>⊢</b> ∎(	0.90 (0.82, 0.99)
> Median (116 mmHg)	<b>⊢</b> ∎ - I	0.95 (0.85, 1.05)
Baseline eGFR		
≤ 60 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	<b>■</b>	0.98 (0.89, 1.07)
> 60 mL/min/1./3m <sup>2</sup>		0.84 (0.75, 0.94)
No	<b>  </b> 1	0.94 (0.85, 1.03)
Yes	· - · ·	0.90 (0.81, 1.00)
Baseline Use of ARB		
No	<b>⊢</b> ∎−-{	0.91 (0.85, 0.99)
Yes		0.97 (0.83, 1.15)
Baseline Use of MRA		0.09 (0.95 1.12)
Yes		0.98 (0.83, 1.12)
Baseline Use of ARNi		
No	⊢ <b>≡</b> ⊸(	0.91 (0.84, 0.99)
Yes	<b>⊢</b>	0.97 (0.83, 1.13)
Baseline Presence of CRT		
No Yes		0.93 (0.86, 1.01) 0.84 (0.72, 0.99)
Baseline Presence of ICD		0.01 (0.72, 0.99)
No	<b>⊢</b> - <b>■</b> -1	0.94 (0.86, 1.03)
Yes	<b>⊢=</b> I	0.88 (0.78, 0.98)
0.5	0.7 0.91.01.1 1.3 1.5 1.7	
	Favors OM	

## Baseline Characteristics by EF Quartile (1)



Demographics	Q1: EF ≤22% (N=2246)	Q4: EF ≥33% (N=1750)	P-Value
Age (years), mean±SD	62.5 ± 12	66.4 ± 11	<0.001
Sex, female, n (%)	422 (19)	421 (24)	< 0.001
Race, n (%)			<0.001
Asian	171 (8)	136 (8)	
Black	243 (11)	68 (4)	
Other*	200 (9 )	83 (5)	
White	1632 (73)	1463 (84)	
Region, n (%)			<0.001
Asia	152 (7)	130 (7)	
Eastern Europe/ Russia	476 (21)	805 (46)	
Latin and South America	438 (20)	268 (15)	
US and Canada	581 (26)	205 (12)	
Western Europe/ South Africa/ Australasia	599 (27)	342 (20)	

Medical Conditions, n (%)	Q1: EF ≤22% (N=2246)	Q4: EF ≥33% (N=1750)	P-Value
Coronary artery disease	1267 (56)	1218 (70)	<0.001
Atrial Fib/ Flutter (Screen)	547 (24)	528 (30)	<0.001
Hypertension	1431 (64)	1367 (78)	<0.001
Type 2 diabetes mellitus	869 (39)	743 (43)	<0.001

Heart Failure History	Q1: EF ≤22% (N=2246)	Q4: EF ≥33% (N=1750)	P-Value
LVEF (%), median [Q1, Q3]	20 [15, 20]	34 [33, 35]	N/A
Time from last HF Hosp. (months; median [Q1,Q3]	3.0 [1.6, 5.9]	3.6 [1.6, 6.9]	0.043
MAGGIC Score, median (Q1, Q3)	25 [21, 30]	21 [17, 25]	< 0.001
NYHA III/IV, n (%)	1086 (48)	791 (45)	0.016
lschemic HF etiology, n (%)	1033 (46)	1088 (62)	< 0.001
KCCQ TSS, median [Q1,Q3]	69 [48, 88]	69 [49, 85]	0.77

## Baseline Characteristics by EF Quartile (2)



Vitals and Laboratory Parameters	Q1: EF ≤22% (N=2246)	Q4: EF ≥33% (N=1750)	P-Value	Medications and Cardiac Devices, n (%)	Q1: EF ≤22% (N=2246)	Q4: EF ≥33% (N=1750)	P-Value
Body mass index				ACEi, ARB or ARNi	1900 (85)	1539 (88)	<0.001
(kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean (SD)	27.9 (6.3)	29.1 (6.1)	<0.001	ARNI	534 (24)	248 (14)	< 0.001
				BB	2086 (93)	1655 (95)	0.022
(SD)	112 (15)	121 (14)	<0.001	MRA	1715 (76)	1305 (75)	0.10
Heart rate (beats/min), mean	74 (12)	72 (12)	<0.001	(ACEi, ARB, or ARNi) + MRA + BB	1413 (63)	1114 (64)	0.37
(SD)				Digitalis Glycosides	450 (20)	251 (14)	< 0.001
NT-proBNP (pg/mL),	2524	1615	<0.001	SGLT2 Inhibitors	64 (3)	43 (3)	0.19
median [Q1-Q3]	[1250, 5296]	[755, 3245]	<0.001	lvabradine	172 (8)	90 (5)	< 0.001
hsTnI (ng/L), median [Q3]	31 [58]	23 [43]	<0.001	Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy	454 (20)	152 (9)	<0.001
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ), median [Q1,Q3]	59 [44, 74]	58 [45, 74]	0.72	Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator	972 (43)	363 (21)	<0.001

Treatment Effect Increased Progressively As Baseline EF Decreased In EF ≤22%, 11.8 needed-to-treat to prevent 1 event over 3 years



#### Incidence of Primary Composite Endpoint

#### Absolute Treatment Effect on Primary Composite Endpoint



## Focusing to the Advanced Heart Failure Patient

#### High Risk for Developing HF

Hypertension / CAD / Diabetes mellitus / Family history of cardiomyopathy

#### Asymptomatic HF

LV systolic dysfunction / Previous MI / Asymptomatic valvular disease

#### Symptomatic HF

Known structural heart disease / Shortness of breath and fatigue / Reduced exercise tolerance

#### **Advanced HF**

Substantial disease burden despite maximal medical therapy

#### → Advanced heart failure is defined as:

- Significant persistent symptoms
- Objective evidence of severe impairment of cardiac performance
  - EF < 30%
  - Impaired invasive or non-invasive hemodynamics
- Recurrent hospitalizations
- Severe impairment of functional capacity (6MWD < 300 m, peak VO<sub>2</sub> < 12 mg/kg/min)</li>

Despite optimal medical and device treatment



## Addressable U.S. Patient Population: 1-2M Patients

Subgroup	No. of Events/ No. of Patients		Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	Norm p-value	ARR
All Patients	3103/8232	⊢≡-i	0.92 (0.86, 0.99)	0.025	2.1%
LVEF ≤28%	1821/4456	<b>⊢∎</b> →	0.84 (0.77, 0.92)	<0.001	4.9%
Outpatients	1255/3304	<b>⊢−</b> ∎−−−1	0.83 (0.75, 0.93)	0.001	5.0%
Inpatients	566/1152	<b>⊢−−−</b> −1	0.86 (0.73, 1.02)	0.084	3.9%
Hosp <3 mos	1200/2688	<b>⊢-∎-</b> -1	0.83 (0.74, 0.93)	0.001	5.2%
Class III/IV	1055/2132	<b>⊢-</b> ∎1	0.80 (0.71, 0.90)	< 0.001	7.0%
NT-proBNP >2000	1249/2431	<b>⊢_∎</b> i	0.77 (0.69, 0.87)	< 0.001	8.1%
SBP <110	843/1820	<b>⊢</b>	0.81 (0.70, 0.92)	0.002	7.4%
	0.5	0.8 1.0 1.2 OM ←→ Pla Better Be	cebo tter		

## In GALACTIC-HF, greater treatment effect in prespecified subgroup of patients with LVEF $\leq$ 28%: (n=4,456) HR 0.84; 95% CI 0.77, 0.92



Virani SS, Alonso A, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2020 update: a report from the American Heart Association Circulation. 2020;141(9):e139-596. p e509
 Shannon M. Dunlay, Véronique L. Roger, Susan A. Weston, Ruoxiang Jiang and Margaret M. Redfield (Circ Heart Fail. 2012;5:720-726.); Olmsted County community cohort of HF patients (1984 to 2009).

## Clinical and Economic Burden of Advanced HF

High rates of hospitalization and high costs of care



Among patients with HFrEF who experienced a worsening heart failure event (HF hospitalization or ER visit) in last 12 months<sup>1</sup>

- 63.5% had LVEF ≤25%, despite statistically significantly higher use of guideline-directed medical therapy compared to patients without a worsening heart failure event
- Statistically significant greater rate of HF hospitalizations, all-cause hospitalizations and mortality

For Medicare patients hospitalized for heart failure between 2016-2018<sup>2</sup>

- Mean cost per HFrEF hospitalization:
  \$10,735
- Mean cost for 30-day post-hospitalization care: \$7,060
- Total 30-day cost for HFrEF hospitalization & post-hospitalization care: \$17,795

1. Carnicelli et al. Duke Clinical Research Institute, AHA 2020 2. Desai et al, Yale University School of Medicine, AHA 2020



## Go-To-Market Strategy: Customer Facing Deployment Key considerations







## Strategic Importance of the Hospital/IDN Channel

- ~45% of patients diagnosed for HF in hospitals and treated by physicians primarily affiliated with strategic hospitals – home of HF COEs, KOLs
- Advanced HF patients more likely to be treated in hospitals a critical capture point and discharge treatment opportunity

## Targeting institutions and high prescribing community physicians based on a weighted blend of

- Patient claims
- Entresto® uptake

**Array of Weighted Metrics** 

- Advanced HF medicine
  usage
- Access tiers

## Applied Analytics

- Deployment of customer facing teams informed by claims data, Rx data, "communities of practice," rep access and digital affinity
- Non-personal promotion leveraged to address "no see" physicians, restricted hospitals, especially post COVID-19

#### Top 1,100 Hospitals Represent 70% of HFrEF Admissions



## "Future Ready" Deployment & Promotion Enables Customization

#### DAKOTA MONTANA Ottawa Montre: SOUTH WYOMING NEBRASK d State NEVADA mit KANSA ORNIA oLas Vegas OKLAH NEW MEXICO Mexico **Deploy to Hot Spots**

Patient and HCP Heat Map in HFrEF

#### Physician Engagement Type by Geography



Note: Based on 2020 cycle 1 Affinity Monitor<sup>TM</sup> metrics for LHMs; LHM engagement was considered to be the average engagement of rated HCPs within each LHMs; LHMs are ZS designed market which are homogeneous market within LHM boundaries

## Second Phase 3 Clinical Trial Underway



Investigating effect of omecamtiv mecarbil on exercise tolerance

#### Expect enrollment to complete in 1H 2021

#### **Primary Endpoint**

Change in peak VO2 on CPET from baseline to Week 20

#### **Second Endpoints**

- Change in total workload during CPET from baseline to Week 20
- Change in ventilatory efficiency (VE/VCO2 slope) during CPET from baseline to Week 20
- Change in average daily activity units measured over 2 weeks from baseline to Week 18-20 by accelerometry

Study Plan	
Total Countries Planned	9
Active Countries	4
Total Sites Planned	92
Activated Sites	69
Total Patients Planned	270

#### **Key Design Points**

- Designed to enroll approximately 270 patients
- 90% power
- Patients must have LVEF ≤35 percent, be NYHA heart failure class II or III, and have reduced exercise capacity
- Patients randomized 2:1 to omecamtiv mecarbil

VO2 = Oxygen Uptake; CPET = Cardio-Pulmonary Exercise Testing; VE = Ventilatory Efficiency



## **Clinical Trial Overview**





\*Screening echocardiogram is not required if an appropriate LVEF assessment has been performed within one year

## **C**ytokinetics

## CK-274: Next-In-Class Cardiac Myosin Inhibitor

#### Potential treatments for patients with HCM



- Discovered by company scientists independent of collaborations
- Selective allosteric inhibitor of cardiac myosin
- No inhibition of smooth muscle myosin observed
- Potential *in vivo* pharmacodynamic advantages related to distinctive binding site
- Optimized to minimize potential drug-drug interactions
- High oral bioavailability observed across pre-clinical species
- Clear pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) relationship observed
- Shallow exposure-response relationship
- Projected once daily dosing to reach steady state in patients expeditiously
- Goal: Enable flexible dose optimization in humans as may contribute to its efficacy and safety profile

### Significant Unmet Need in HCM Current therapies do not target underlying disease





## SAD & MAD Results Support Progression to Phase 2

#### Phase 1: CK-274 was well tolerated in healthy participants, no SAEs\*



\*No SAEs and no clinically meaningful changes in vital signs, ECGs, or laboratory tests

Data points represent mean ± standard error of the mean

Cmax = maximum drug plasma concentration; AUC = area under the plasma concentration curve; SAD = single ascending dose; d = day; qd = once daily

## Cytokinetics

## CY 6011: MAD Pharmacokinetic Parameters

#### Half-Life of CK-274 at Steady-State was ~81 hours (3.4 days) On Average

ean	Dose (n)	5 mg (6)	7.5 mg (6)	10 mg(6)
tric M	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	69 (23.2%)	148 (39.5%	141 (19.7%)
ieome V)*	t <sub>max</sub> (h)	2.75 (1.5–4)	1.0 (0.5–5)	2.5 (0.5–3)
eter, 6 (%0	AUC <sub>24</sub> (ng•h/mL)	1,321 (23.0%)	2,518 (25.8%)	2,631 (22.8%)
aram	t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	86.3 (11.9)	76.9 (14.5)	79.7 (14.1)
PK	AR	4.71	4.5	4.79

## Shallow Exposure-Response Relationship Observed Pre-clinically Appears to Have Translated to Humans, May Enable Flexible Dose Optimization in Humans



Graphs show LVEF as a function of exposure; data points represent observed values in dogs and humans.

Decrease in LVEF as function of exposure is similar in humans and dogs.

\*Except data for tmax shown as median (minimum-maximum), and t½ shown as the arithmetic mean (standard deviation).

AR (accumulation ratio) calculated as (AUC24 on Day 14 or 17)/(AUC24 on Day 1). %CV = percent coefficient of variation; Cmax = maximum plasma concentration; AUC24 = area under the plasma concentration curve;

MAD = multiple ascending dose;  $t^{1/2}$  = apparent plasma terminal elimination half-life; tmax = time to maximum observed plasma concentration.

## Phase 2 Clinical Trial Design



#### Two sequential dose-finding cohorts (optional 3rd cohort)



### Interim Analysis Informed Progression to Cohort 2 Cohort 2 enrollment complete; Cohort 3 enrolling patients on disopyramide



Topline results for Cohort 1 and 2 expected mid-year 2021

## • Interim analysis of data from Cohort 1 demonstrated:

- Substantial reductions in average resting LVOT-G & post-Valsalva LVOT-G
- Only modest decreases in average LVEF and no dose interruptions due to LVEF falling below 50% (prespecified safety threshold)
- No serious adverse events attributed to study treatment

## **Cohort 1:** Escalating doses of 5, 10, 15 mg once daily

**Cohort 2:** Escalating doses of 10, 20, 30 mg once daily

**Cohort 3:** Escalating doses of 5, 10, 15 mg once daily *For patients taking disopyramide* 

## **Open Label Extension Trial**



#### REDWOOD-HCM OLE open for eligible patients who completed REDWOOD-HCM

- Primary endpoint: incidence of AEs & LVEF <50
- Secondary endpoints: measures of long-term effects of CK-274 on LVOT-G; assessments of steady-state pharmacokinetics.
  - Cardiac MRI sub-study to assess changes in cardiac morphology, function and fibrosis
- Individually optimized dose starts at lowest dose in prespecified range with echo-guided dose titration
- Initial dose and highest target dose informed by interim analyses from REDWOOD-HCM

**OLE:** Escalating doses based on echoguided dose titration



## CK-274: Clinical Development Plan for HCM





### Novel Approach May Address Multiple Unmet Patient Needs **No FDA-approved therapies**



### CK-274: Collaborations & Agreements RTW Investments, LP & Ji Xing Pharmaceuticals Limited



RTW & Ji Xing Pharma Licensing Collaboration, Funding Commitments & Royalty Monetization

RTW Investments committed capital, funding and sale proceeds of \$250M to Cytokinetics

Ji Xing Pharma to develop & commercialize CK-274 in China, subject to royalties and up to \$200M in milestone payments

RTW Investments purchased equity and royalty; provides access to capital for development of CK-274

#### Ji Xing Pharma

Ji Xing to develop & commercialize CK-274 in Greater China and Taiwan

Cytokinetics receives **\$25M upfront**; eligible to receive **\$200M** in development & commercial milestones & double-digit royalties on sales of CK-274 in licensed territory

#### **RTW: Funding for Development of CK-274**

Cytokinetics receives options for additional funding for further development of CK-274 in HCMs:

- Eligible for \$45M in each of 2 tranches (upon initiation of global registration programs in oHCM and nHCM) in exchange for 2% royalty on sales in U.S. & certain European countries
- If **full \$90M** received, Cytokinetics pays RTW 4% royalty on sales of CK-274 in U.S. & certain European countries, subject to royalty reductions for potential other indications

#### **RTW: Other Purchases**

RTW purchased Cytokinetics' royalty rights **on future sales of** *mavacamten* for **\$85M** 

RTW purchased **\$50M of Cytokinetics' common stock** at \$25 per share

## CV Franchise: Building to Improve Patient Healthspan

Build leading CV commercial organization	Successfully launch, <i>omecamtiv mecarbil</i> , for patients with HFrEF	Leverage commercial organization to bring CK-274 & other molecules to market	Expand CV pipeline internally and through novel partnerships	Improve CV patient healthspan

#### Today

Leverage deep **leadership in cardiac muscle biology**, to develop and commercialize innovative medicines for CV disease Meaningfully **improve the healthspan of CV patients** with an initial focus on HFrEF and HCM

Tomorrow



## Building Synergistic Commercial Capabilities

#### **Building Today...**

Building commercial organization focused on hospitalized CV patients and HCPs to optimize opportunity for *omecamtiv mecarbil* 

• Cultivate advocacy with CV patients and HCPs

#### **To Lead Tomorrow**

Establish Cytokinetics as a CV leader by leveraging commercial capabilities for future product launches

- Significant overlap between HFrEF & HCM accounts
- Simultaneously gain experience in HFrEF & HCM



IQVIA HPD - Q3'18 - Q2'19

#### Sarcomere Directed Drug Development

## **SKELETAL MUSCLE**

*Reldesemtiv* CK-601



## Sarcomere Directed Drug Development

The sarcomere is a molecular structure found in skeletal and cardiac muscle that enables skeletal myocytes to contract and generate force



## Phase 2 Clinical Trial in ALS



#### Results presented at American Academy of Neurology 2019



## Primary Endpoint: SVC Change from baseline in percent predicted SVC at week 12





\*Based on Mixed Model for Repeated Measures (MMRM) with the contrasts of (-5, -1, 3, 3) for placebo, reldesemtiv 150 mg, 300 mg and 450 mg BID, respectively

## Change From Baseline: All Active vs Placebo\*



#### Results support progression to potential Phase 3 clinical trial

#### SVC Change From Baseline

(All Active vs Placebo)

ALSFRS-R Change From Baseline (All Active vs Placebo)



#### \*P=0.01 LS Mean (SE) **Difference in Change** of ALSFRS-R 0.9 (0.3) Relative reduction of **25%** in drop of ALSFRS-R compared to placebo Off Drug Week 12 Week 16/ Week 2 Week 8 Baseline Week4 Follow-up Visit Study Treatment: ---- Placebo ---- All Active

\*post hoc analysis

FORTITUDE-ALS did not achieve statistical significance, but patients on all dose groups of reldesemtiv declined less than patients on placebo

## Subgroup Analyses\*



#### **Percent Predicted SVC**

	No. of Patients (pbo/ <i>reldesemtiv</i> )	LSM Difference (95% Cl)	Estimate	<i>P</i> value
Percent predicted SVC at baseline				
<80	38/102	⊢ <del>_</del> =+	1.037	0.5935
≥80	52/187	i÷∎I	2.135	0.0834
ALSFRS-R total score at baseline				
<median (38.0)<="" td=""><td>43/118</td><td>I<u>-</u>∎1</td><td>2.886</td><td>0.1.41</td></median>	43/118	I <u>-</u> ∎1	2.886	0.1.41
≥Median (38.0)	47/171	<b>⊢</b>	0.451	0.7146
ALSAQ-5 total score at baseline				
<150	49/159	<b>⊢</b> ∎−1	0.568	0.6689
≥150	41/130	<u>}</u> —■—I	3.489	0.0287
Anatomic site of disease onset				
Limb	73/234	<u>}-∎-</u>	2.309	0.0448
Bulbar	17/55	<b>⊢</b>	-0.027	0.9923
Time since ALS symptom onset				
<2 Years	50/188		0.530	0.7211
≥2 Years	40/101	<u> </u> ■	3.640	0.0094
Time since ALS diagnosis				
<1 Year	65/210	H=-1	0.819	0.5263
≥1 Year	25/79	<b> ≡ </b>	4.237	0.0172
<6 Months	39/130		1.230	0.4538
≥6 Months	51/159	1;	2.285	0.1024
Pre-study rate of disease progression		· ·		
(ALSFRS-R total score reduction per month)				
1 <sup>st</sup> tertile $\leq (0.3667)$	29/10/		0.663	0.6361
$2^{10}$ tertile > (0.3667) - (0.6673)	35/94		2.960	0.0976
3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile (0.6673)	26/88		1.620	0.4597
	15 1		) 15	
	-15 -11 Eave		I D	
	Place	$\leftrightarrow$	tment	

#### **ALSFRS-R Total Score**

	No. of Patients (pbo/ <i>reldesemtiv</i> )	LSM Difference (95% Cl)	Estimate	<i>P</i> value
Percent predicted SVC at baseline				
<80	43/109	<b>├</b> ■1	1.588	0.0089
≥80	57/196	H <del>i</del> ≡−1	0.264	0.5296
ALSFRS-R total score at baseline				
<median (38.0)<="" td=""><td>48/129</td><td><b>⊢</b>∎1</td><td>1.107</td><td>0.0585</td></median>	48/129	<b>⊢</b> ∎1	1.107	0.0585
≥Median (38.0)	52/176	Ę <b>−</b> ∎−I	0.685	0.0987
ALSAQ-5 total score at baseline				
<150	52/164	H=H	0.266	0.5025
≥150	48/141		1.598	0.0055
Anatomic site of disease onset				
Limb	80/245		0.872	0.0279
Bulbar	20/60		0.861	0.2194
Time since ALS symptom onset				
<2 Years	56/199	: [-===]	1.422	0.0025
≥z years	44/106		0.475	0.3439
Time since ALS diagnosis	74 /005	•	1.100	
<1 Year	/1/225		1.123	0.0101
≥I Year	29/80		0.359	0.5350
>6 Months	58/168	;,,	0.566	0.1820
Pre-study rate of disease progression	30,100	÷	0.500	0.1020
(ALSERS-R total score reduction per month)		:		
$1^{\text{st}}$ tertile $\leq$ (0.3667)	32/110	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.389	0.4298
2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile > (0.3667) - (0.6673)	38/99	<b>⊢</b> ∎1	0.987	0.0665
3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile (0.6673)	30/96		1.733	0.0177
	-5 -	2.5 0 2.5	5	
	Favo	$\xrightarrow{\text{Fa}} \longleftrightarrow \xrightarrow{\text{Fa}}$	VOIS	
	Flace	1160	itment	

\*FORTITUDE-ALS did not achieve statistical significance, but patients on all dose groups of *reldesentiv* declined less than patients on placebo

## Post-Hoc Analyses Inform Potential Path Forward FORTITUDE

#### Change From Baseline in ALSFRS-R by Progressor Tertiles



#### Probability of No New DME\* Over Time With Treatment With *Reldesemtiv*

DME (Durable Medical Equipment): Manual wheelchair, power wheelchair, NIV, Augmentative Language Device, PEG



## Planned Phase 3 Clinical Trial Design



#### Trial to open for enrollment in 2021

**Cvtokinetics** 



## *Reldesemtiv:* Collaborations & Agreements



Astellas Collaboration

#### Cytokinetics has exclusive rights to *reldesemtiv*, CK-601 and other FSRAs

**Cytokinetics** has exclusive control and responsibility for development and commercialization of *reldesemtiv*, CK-601 and other fast skeletal regulatory activators

Astellas to pay certain costs up to \$12M for potential Phase 3 clinical trial of *reldesemtiv* in ALS

Cytokinetics to pay Astellas low- to mid- single digit **royalty on sales** of *reldesemtiv* in certain countries

Astellas has funded **joint research program** with 15 Cytokinetics employees through 2020



Sarcomere Directed Therapies

## **CORPORATE PROFILE**



## Robust Pipeline, Solid Financial Position



\* Timelines and milestones reflect Cytokinetics' current expectations and beliefs



## Cytokinetics Financing History

				Upfront		
		Financing	Equity	Cash, Option, & Milestones Reim	R&D bursement	Total
	Private Investors (VCs)		\$116			\$116
	IPO		\$94			\$94
lucius strains	Public Post-IPO/Other		\$609			\$609
Investors	Term Loan	\$45				\$45
	Convertible Debt (net)*	\$120.5				\$120.5
		\$165.5	\$819			\$984.5
	RTW/Ji Xing		\$50	\$113		\$163
	Astellas		\$10	\$130	\$103	\$243
	Amgen		\$43	\$145	\$58	\$246
Stratogic	Royalty Pharma		\$10	\$90	-	\$100
Dartnors	GSK		\$24	\$22	\$33	\$79
Recrapts	AstraZeneca		_	_	\$2	\$2
	MyoKardia		_	_	\$2	\$2
	Global Blood		_	_	\$2	\$2
	Grants (ALS Assoc/NINDS/other)		_	\$6	_	\$6
			\$137	\$506	\$200	\$843

Capital raised: combination of strategic partners and investors

\*Net of fees and expenses, and Capped Call costs



## Balance Sheet & Financial Guidance

Ended Q1 with 2+ years cash runway based on 2021 guidance

in millions

#### 2021 Condensed Balance Sheet

As of 3/31/2021

	111 111110115
	Total
Cash and investments	\$460.2
Leased assets	\$86.1
Other assets	\$30.8
Total Assets	\$577.1
Debt	\$134.0
Liability related to sale of future royalties	\$168.9
Deferred Revenue	\$87.0
Lease liability	\$85.6
Other liabilities	\$33.7
Total Liabilities	\$509.2
Working capital	\$397.2
Accumulated deficit	(\$1,039.4)
Stockholders' equity	\$67.8
Wtd Avg Basic Shares Outstanding	71.2

#### 2021 Financial Guidance

	in millions
	Total
Cash Revenue	\$23 - 28
Cash Operating Expenses*	\$195 – 205
Net	~ \$160-170

\*We expect to revise our financial guidance mid-year once we finalize strategies and potential commercial launch plans for *omecamtiv mecarbil*. Executing on those strategies and plans may result in our incurring significant additional expenses that were not included in our current financial guidance.

## Upcoming 2021 Milestones

Continue to Engage Regulatory Authorities for <b>Omecamtiv</b> <b>Mecarbil</b> in Q2 2021; Submit US NDA in 2H 2021	Develop Go-To-Market Strategy and Launch Plan for <b>Omecamtiv</b> <b>Mecarbil</b> in 1H 2021	Expect to Complete Enrollment in <b>METEORIC-HF</b> in 1H 2021
Expect Results from <b>REDWOOD-HCM</b> in mid-2021	Expect to Begin <b>Phase 3 Trial of</b> <b>CK-274</b> by Year End	Conduct Start-Up Activities for COURAGE-ALS, Phase 3 Clinical Trial of <b>Reldesemtiv</b> in Patients with ALS

# **C**ytokinetics

#### Sarcomere Directed Therapies

# THANK YOU



John, diagnosed with heart failure

Jillian, diagnosed with HCM

Chuck, diagnosed with ALS